

GRADING RUBRICS

Name

Instructor Evaluation: 1st Draft (full) Report

E=Excellent; V=Good; G=Good; S=Satisfactory; N=Needs improvement; U=Unsatisfactory

(I may put more than one letter if you feel it was Excellent in some places; but satisfactory in others): e.g., E & S

Your Score:

Introduction – 25 pts.		
<p>___ Opening statement sets the direction of the paper, and draws the reader in.</p> <p>___ Main topic is implied or stated so the reader understands the primary research question(s)</p> <p>___ The introduction answered the “so what?” question (i.e., why should the reader care).</p> <p>___ Clear description of theory and related research</p> <p>___ Good literature review was included to give a good background of the research</p> <p>___ Rationale for research question clearly follows from theory & related research</p> <p>___ References are used appropriately to support arguments</p>		
<p>___ Good logical development of ideas leading toward the hypothesis</p> <p>___ Clearly articulated problem (e.g., a “hole” in the literature, or a need for clarification, replication, application, etc.)</p> <p>___ Clear Hypothesis statement (but see comments below)</p> <p>___ Writing style is clear, well-organized, easy to follow</p>		
The best part of your introduction was....		
The one (or more) thing(s) that is most in need of some modification would be...		
Methods - 20 pts.		
<p>___ General format is appropriate (APA-style)</p> <p>___ Includes appropriate subheadings, well-organized</p> <p>___ Methodology clearly tests research question/hypothesis</p>		
<p>___ Reader can have a good idea of who the subjects are</p> <p>___ Descriptions of materials and procedures are clear, comprehensive</p> <p>___ The materials for the study are self-explanatory, include all necessary material, and appear to be clear to the participants.</p>		
<p>___ Organized thoughts in presenting the procedures</p> <p>___ 'Important' conditions, methods are described (i.e., the reader could replicate if desired)</p> <p>___ Research design is clear (either from the intro &/or methods)</p>		
The best part of your method section was....		
The one (or more) thing(s) that is most in need of some modification would be...		
Results - 25 pts.		
<p>___ Data management issues are addressed (summarized, organized,</p>		

analyzed, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> The analysis is clear from the hypotheses, or is specifically re-connected. <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptive statistics are properly reported &/or figures/tables appropriately referenced. <input type="checkbox"/> Figures and Tables are used appropriately - when needed.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Analyses appropriately tests the hypothesis <input type="checkbox"/> Correct notation, description of statistical procedures (descriptive and inferential) <input type="checkbox"/> There is a clear statistical answer provided for the main questions <input type="checkbox"/> The main conclusions are clear	
Comments:	
Discussion – 20 pts.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Results are clearly and conceptually summarized and related to hypothesis <input type="checkbox"/> Both expected and unexpected results are explained	
<input type="checkbox"/> Conclusions and generalizations are appropriately supported by data study results are clearly connected to rest of literature on topic (theory and research) <input type="checkbox"/> Explains problems, gives plausible explanations of results as well as alternative explanations or theories about why these results were obtained <input type="checkbox"/> Limitations of study and future research directions are considered	
Comments:	
Other APA Style considerations – 10 pts. <input type="checkbox"/> Cover sheet <input type="checkbox"/> Abstract – <i>MUST have an abstract in the next draft</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Title/First page <input type="checkbox"/> Referencing in the text <input type="checkbox"/> Proper emphasis on using evidence to support statements; <input type="checkbox"/> Reference section at the end is done properly (notation, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> General quality of reference material	
Other (optional) Comments about the paper or study in general: TOTAL: (out of 100)	

*** Note to all. This draft counts 100 points, and the final draft counts 100 points. However, to arrive at the total of 200 points for the entire paper, the final score will be weighted double by doubling the second score, adding it to the first draft score; this total will be divided by 3 (yielding an average percent) and then multiplied by 200 (to give the points out of 200). Example: 1st draft: 85; final draft 95: $85 + 95 + 95 = 275/3 = 91.7\% \times 200 = 183$ points. Also, if you have a 95% or higher on the first one, you have the option of making this your final draft (although you may want to revise it if you want to have a good writing sample); I do set slightly higher expectations on the final draft so if you have a 93% and do not make the suggested improvements, it's possible to go down.